

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	' FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,037	12/29/2000	Frank Liebenow	450.317US1	8768
24333	7590 01/20/2004		EXAM	INER
GATEWAY, INC. ATTN: SCOTT CHARLES RICHARDSON			LE, KAREN L	
610 GATEWAY DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MAIL DROP Y-04			2642	<i></i>
N. SIOUX CI	TY, SD 57049		DATE MAILED: 01/20/2004	, 5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No	Applicant(s)	
	09/752,037	LIEBENOW, FRAN	ık ,
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Karen Le	2642	
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cov	er sheet with the correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions or after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu- - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply we amend patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho nication. I days, a reply within the statutory mutory period will apply and will expirill, by statute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be timely filed ninimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely e SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this contobecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>08 October 2003</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-fin	al.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice			merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to the specific results.	a) accepted or b) ot on to the drawing(s) be held the correction is required if the	d in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ne drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for since a specific reference was included 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for reference was included in the first senter	ocuments have been recocuments have been recocuments have been reconstituted the priority documents had Bureau (PCT Rule 17.3 for a list of the certified comestic priority under 3 in the first sentence of the uage provisional applicated	eived. eived in Application No have been received in this National Section 2(a)). opies not received. So U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional and a specification or in an Application Ection has been received. So U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a	application) Data Sheet.
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTCB) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	· · · · · · · · =	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO- Other:	

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's response filed on October 8, 2003. Claims 1-50 are now pending in the present application. This action is made non-final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e).

3. Claims 1-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Miller, Jr. (U. S. 6,442,249).

Regarding claims 1, 9, 10, 20, 22-23 Miller teaches a method, a computer readable medium, of handling a call from a caller to a communication device (Fig. 1, item 1), the method comprising:

Art Unit: 2642

Page 3

Receiving the call, determining if the communication device is in a privacy mode (Col. 3, lines 12-13), and if the communication device is in the privacy mode, completing the call if a privacy mode code is entered by the caller (Col. 3, lines 35-44)

If the communication device is in the privacy mode, providing a privacy mode message including a selected privacy override code to the caller (Col.3, 23-34).

Regarding claims 2, 11, 21, 24, 26, 33, and 38 Miller further teaches if the communication device is in the privacy mode, routing the call to a message system if no privacy mode code is entered by the caller (Col. 23-34 and Col. 7, lines 15-16).

Regarding claims 3, 14, 29, and 34 Miller further teaches the privacy mode is selected by a user of the communication device (Col. 7, lines 34-38).

Regarding claims 4, 15, 30, and 43 Miller further teaches communication device is one of a cellular telephone and personal digital assistant (Col. 1, lines 46-48).

Regarding claims 5 and 16 Miller further teaches the method is performed by one of a base station and a switch (Col. 1, lines 46-48).

Regarding claims 6, 17 and 40 Miller further teaches receiving a request from the communication device to place the communication device in the privacy mode (Col. 1, lines 55-56).

Regarding claims 7, 12-13, 18, and 41 Miller further teaches a privacy mode message selected by a user of the communication device and adapted to be provide to the caller prior to completing the call (Col. 7, lines 3-9, Fig. 3b, item 328), and the privacy mode code selected by the user of the communication device (Col. 7, lines 9-13).

Art Unit: 2642

Regarding claims 8, 19 and 42 Miller further teaches receiving a request from the communication device to place the communication device out of the privacy mode (Col. 7, lines 13-14).

Regarding claims 25, 31-32, 35-37 and 39 Miller further teaches a system for handling a call from a caller to a user of a communication device comprising:

a data entry device capable of receiving input to cause the communication device to enter a privacy mode (Col. 7, lines 34-38), a memory capable of storing a privacy mode message (Col..7, lines 3-9), the privacy mode message including a selected privacy override code adapted to be provided to the caller prior to completing the call to the user (Col..7, lines 3-13), and a receiver capable of completing the call to the user if a privacy mode code is entered by the caller a transmitter capable of completing the call to the user if the communication device is in the privacy mode and the privacy mode code is entered by the caller (Col.-3, lines 35-44).

Regarding claim 27 Miller further teaches the data entry device is a telephone keypad (Col. 7, lines 34-38).

Regarding claims 28, Miller further teaches the privacy mode code comprises at least one keystroke from the data entry device (Col. 3, lines 35-44).

Regarding claims 44-50, further teaches the communication device is in the privacy mode, passing the call to a voice messaging system if the privacy mode code is not entered by the caller, the voice messaging system being capable of recording a message spoken by the caller making the call, blocking completion of the call until the privacy mode code is entered (Col.3, lines 23-44 and Col. 7, lines 3-16).

Art Unit: 2642

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-50 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Crystal Park II, Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington, VA 22202

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen Le whose telephone number is 703-308-4998. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

Karen Le KLL January 9, 2004

AHMAD MATAR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600